

Delft University of Technology  
Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mathematics, and Computer Science  
Circuits and Systems Group

## **ET 4386 Estimation and Detection - Answers**

April 12th 2018, 13:30–16:30

This is a closed book exam. One double sided self-handwritten A4 formula sheet is allowed.

This exam has four questions (40 points in total).

## Question 1 (10 points)

(2 pts) (a)  $\frac{\partial \log f(x;\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial -\log(\theta) + x \log(1-1/\theta)}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{x}{\theta(\theta-1)}$ .

$$E \left[ \frac{\partial \ln f(x;\theta)}{\partial \theta} \right] = E \left[ -\frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{x}{\theta(\theta-1)} \right] = -\frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\theta} = 0.$$

(1 pts) (b) We calculate in question a) that  $\frac{\partial \log f(x;\theta)}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{x}{\theta(\theta-1)}$ . Calculating  $\frac{\partial \log f(x;\theta)}{\partial \theta} = 0$  we get  $\frac{1}{\theta} = \frac{x}{\theta(\theta-1)} \Rightarrow (\theta-1) = x$ , and thus we obtain  $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = x + 1$ .

(3 pts) (c) We calculate in question a) that  $\frac{\partial \log f(x;\theta)}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{x}{\theta(\theta-1)}$ . We can then calculate  $\frac{\partial^2 \log f(x;\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} = \frac{1}{\theta^2} - \frac{x(2\theta-1)}{\theta^2(\theta-1)^2}$ .  $I(\theta) = -E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \log f(x;\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \right] = -E \left[ \frac{1}{\theta^2} - \frac{x(2\theta-1)}{\theta^2(\theta-1)^2} \right] = -\frac{1}{\theta^2} + \frac{(2\theta-1)}{\theta^2(\theta-1)} = \frac{1}{\theta(\theta-1)}$ .  
The CRLB is given by  $\text{var}(\hat{\theta}) \geq \frac{1}{I(\theta)} = \theta(\theta-1)$ .

(2 pts) (d)  $\text{var}[\hat{\theta}_{MLE}] = \text{var}[x + 1] = \text{var}[x] = \theta(\theta-1)$ .

(2 pts) (e) The variance of the MLE estimator equals the CRLB. The MLE estimator thus equals the MVU.

Alternatively, we can try to write  $\frac{\partial \log f(x;\theta)}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{x}{\theta(\theta-1)} = I(\theta)(\hat{\theta}_{MVU} - \theta)$   
 $\frac{1}{\theta(\theta-1)}(x - (\theta-1)) = \frac{1}{\theta(\theta-1)}(x + 1 - \theta)$ . From this we can also conclude that  $\hat{\theta}_{MVU} = x + 1$

## Question 2 (10 points)

(2,5 pts) (a)  $f(\mathbf{x}; A) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{\det(\mathbf{C})}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - A\mathbf{1})^T \mathbf{C}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - A\mathbf{1})\right]$

$$\frac{\partial \log f(\mathbf{x}; A)}{\partial A} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{1} - A \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log f(\mathbf{x}; A)}{\partial A^2} = -\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{1}$$

$$\text{var}[\hat{A}] \geq \frac{1}{\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{1}} = \frac{\sigma^2(1-\rho^2)}{2-2\rho} = \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(1+\rho)$$

(2,5 pts) (b) From  $\frac{\partial \log f(\mathbf{x}; A)}{\partial A} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{1} - A \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{1}$  we can write

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}; A)}{\partial A} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{1} - A \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{1} = \underbrace{\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{1}}_{I(A)} \left( \underbrace{(\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{1})^{-1} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{1}}_{\hat{A}} - A \right)$$

The MVU estimator is thus given by

$$\hat{A} = (\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{1})^{-1} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{1} = \frac{x[0] + x[1]}{2}.$$

(2,5 pts) (c) For  $\rho = -1$  we obtain  $\text{var} \hat{A} \geq 0$ . Setting  $\rho = -1$ , means that  $w[0]$  and  $w[1]$  have perfect negative correlation. Summing the two samples  $x[1]$  and  $x[0]$  will perfectly cancel the noise. The MVU estimator that reaches this bound has thus no variance as all the noise is perfectly cancelled.

(2,5 pts) (d) With this prior we obtain  $\frac{\partial \log f(\mathbf{x}; A) + \log f(A)}{\partial A} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{1} - \lambda + A \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{1}$ .

$$\hat{A}_{MAP} = \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{1} - \lambda}{\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{1}} = \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{1} - \lambda}{\frac{\sigma^2(1+\rho)}{2}} = \frac{\frac{x[0]+x[1]}{2} - \lambda}{\frac{\sigma^2(1+\rho)}{2}} = \frac{x[0]+x[1]-\sigma^2(1+\rho)\lambda}{2}$$

### Question 3 (10 points)

(2.5 pts) (a)

$$T(x) = x \geq \frac{\log\left(\lambda \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_0}\right)}{\log\left(\frac{1 - \frac{1}{\theta_1}}{1 - \frac{1}{\theta_0}}\right)} = \lambda'$$

(2.5 pts) (b)  $P_{fa} = P(T(x) \geq \lambda' | \mathcal{H}_0) = \frac{1}{\theta_0} \sum_{x=\lambda'}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{1}{\theta_0})^x = (1 - \frac{1}{\theta_0})^{\lambda'}$ .  
So,  $\lambda' = \log(P_{fa}) / \log(1 - \frac{1}{\theta_0})$ .

(2.5 pts) (c)  $P_D = P(T(x) \geq \lambda' | \mathcal{H}_1) = \frac{1}{\theta_1} \sum_{x=\lambda'}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{1}{\theta_1})^x = (1 - \frac{1}{\theta_1})^{\lambda'} = (1 - \frac{1}{\theta_1})^{\log(P_{fa}) / \log(1 - \frac{1}{\theta_0})}$ .

(2.5pts) (d) We now replace  $\theta_1$  with its MLE.  $\hat{\theta}_{1,MLE} = x + 1$ . The GRLT is then given by

$$T(x) = \log\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right) + x \log\left(\frac{x}{x+1}\right) - x \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{\theta_0}\right) \geq \log \frac{\lambda}{\theta_0}$$

### Question 4 (10 points)

(2 pts) (a)  $T(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]s[n] > \gamma'$   
 $E(T; \mathcal{H}_0) = E \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} w[n]s[n] \right] = 0$   
 $E(T; \mathcal{H}_1) = E \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (s[n] + w[n])s[n] \right] = \alpha$   
 $\text{Var}(T; \mathcal{H}_0) = \text{Var} \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} w[n]s[n] \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \text{Var} [w[n]] s^2[n] = \sigma^2 \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} s^2[n] = \sigma^2 \alpha$   
 $\text{Var}(T; \mathcal{H}_1) = \text{Var} \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (s[n] + w[n])s[n] \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \text{Var} [(s[n] + w[n])] s^2[n] = \sigma^2 \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} s^2[n] = \sigma^2 \alpha$

$$T(\mathbf{x}) \sim \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \alpha) & \text{under } \mathcal{H}_0 \\ \mathcal{N}(\alpha, \sigma^2 \alpha) & \text{under } \mathcal{H}_1. \end{cases}$$

(2 pts) (b)  $P_{fa} = P(T(x) \geq \lambda' | \mathcal{H}_0) = Q \left( \frac{\gamma'}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 \alpha}} \right)$ . So  $\gamma' = \sqrt{\sigma^2 \alpha} Q^{-1}(P_{fa})$ .

$$P_D = P(T(x) \geq \lambda' | \mathcal{H}_1) = Q \left( \frac{\gamma' - \alpha}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 \alpha}} \right) = Q \left( \frac{\sqrt{\sigma^2 \alpha} Q^{-1}(P_{fa}) - \alpha}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 \alpha}} \right) = Q \left( Q^{-1}(P_{fa}) - \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2}} \right)$$

(2 pts) (c) The detection performance depends on the SNR  $\alpha/\sigma^2$ , and thus on the total energy  $\alpha$ . The shape of  $s[n]$  thus does not matter. As long as the energy is kept the same, all signals will have the same detection performance.

(1 pts) (d)  $T(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{s} > \gamma'$   
 $E(T; \mathcal{H}_0) = E \left[ \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{s} \right] = 0$   
 $E(T; \mathcal{H}_1) = E \left[ (\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{w})^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{s} \right] = \mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{s}$   
 $\text{Var}(T; \mathcal{H}_0) = E \left[ (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{s})^2 \right] = \mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{s}$   
 $\text{Var}(T; \mathcal{H}_1) = E \left[ (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{s} - E[\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{s}])^2 \right] = E \left[ (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{s})^2 \right] = \mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{s}$

$$T(\mathbf{x}) \sim \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{s}) & \text{under } \mathcal{H}_0 \\ \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{s}) & \text{under } \mathcal{H}_1. \end{cases}$$

(3 pts) (e) The detection probability is now given by  $P_D = Q \left( Q^{-1}(P_{fa}) - \sqrt{\mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{s}} \right)$ .

Increasing  $\mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{s}$  will thus lead to an increased detection performance. What is the optimal  $s[n]$  for  $\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} s^2[n] = \alpha$ ? Notice that in this case,  $\mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{s} = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{s^2[n]}{\sigma_n^2}$

1.

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\mathbf{s}} \quad & \mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{s} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{s} = \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

The Lagrangian is given by  $L = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{s^2[n]}{\sigma_n^2} - \lambda \left( \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} s^2[n] - \alpha \right)$   
Taking partial derivatives we get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial s[k]} = \frac{2s[k]}{\sigma_k^2} - 2\lambda s[k] = 2s[k] \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_k^2} - \lambda \right) = 0.$$

$\lambda$  is constant for all samples. So for one  $k$ , say  $k = j$ , we have  $\lambda = \frac{1}{\sigma_j^2}$ . For all other  $k \neq j$ ,  $s[k] = 0$ . The signal that maximizes the detection probability is therefore given by a signal that is zero always except for one sample  $j$ . At sample  $j$ , the signal gets energy  $\alpha$ . This should be that  $j$  where  $\sigma_n^2$  has the minimum value, in order to maximize  $\mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{s}$