

## ET 4386 Estimation and Detection

Richard C. Hendriks

### Example 1 - Lecture 6

This example is a continuation of an example given in lecture 5.

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  be iid measurements from a Poisson ( $\lambda$ ) distribution with marginal pmf

$$p(x_n; \lambda) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{x_n}}{x_n!},$$

and with expected value  $E[x_n] = \lambda$ .

- (a) Calculate  $\frac{\partial \ln p(x_n; \lambda)}{\partial \lambda}$  and show that the regularity condition is satisfied.
- (b) Determine the CRLB for  $\text{Var}[\hat{\lambda}]$  under the pmf  $p(x; \lambda)$
- (c) Give the MVU estimator for  $\lambda$ .

In the following we will consider  $\lambda$  to be a random variable instead of a deterministic parameter. Let the distribution of  $\lambda$  be uniform in the interval  $[0, c]$ .

- (d) Calculate the MMSE estimator  $\hat{\lambda} = E[\lambda | x_1, \dots, x_N]$ .  
Make use of the the following relation:

$$\int_0^u x^{\nu-1} e^{-\mu x} dx = \mu^{-\nu} \gamma(\nu, \mu u), \quad (1)$$

where  $\gamma(s, x)$  is known as the incomplete Gamma function, defined as  $\gamma(s, x) = \int_0^x t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt$ .

- (e) Calculate the MAP estimator  $\lambda_{MAP}$ .

Now let the distribution of  $\lambda$  be exponential with pdf  $p(\lambda; a) = a e^{-a\lambda}$ , with  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $E[\lambda] = \frac{1}{a}$  and  $\text{var}[\lambda] = \frac{1}{a^2}$ .

- (f) Calculate the MMSE estimator  $\hat{\lambda} = E[\lambda | x_1, \dots, x_N]$ .  
Make use of the the following relation:

$$\int_0^\infty x^{\nu-1} e^{-\mu x} dx = \mu^{-\nu} \Gamma(\nu), \quad (2)$$

- (g) Calculate the MAP estimator  $\lambda_{MAP}$ .

**Answer Example 1 - Lecture 6**

(a)  $p(\mathbf{x}; \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^N e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{x_n}}{x_n!} = e^{-N\lambda} \lambda^{\left(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n\right)} \frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^N x_n!}$

$$\frac{\partial \ln p(\mathbf{x}; \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = -N + \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N x_n}{\lambda}$$

The regularity condition holds as,  $E \left[ \frac{\partial \ln p(\mathbf{x}; \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \right] = -N + \frac{E[\sum_{n=1}^N x_n]}{\lambda} = -N + \frac{N\lambda}{\lambda} = 0$ .

(b)  $\frac{\partial^2 \ln p(\mathbf{x}; \lambda)}{\partial \lambda^2} = -\frac{\sum_{n=1}^N x_n}{\lambda^2}$ .

$$E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln p(\mathbf{x}; \lambda)}{\partial \lambda^2} \right] = -\frac{N}{\lambda}. \text{ The CRLB is then given by } \text{Var}[\hat{\lambda}] \geq \frac{1}{-E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln p(\mathbf{x}; \lambda)}{\partial \lambda^2} \right]} = \frac{\lambda}{N}$$

(c) From question (a) we know that

$$\frac{\partial \ln p(x; \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = -N + \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N x_n}{\lambda}.$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial \ln p(x; \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \underbrace{\frac{N}{\lambda}}_{I(\lambda)} \left( \underbrace{\frac{\sum_{n=1}^N x_n}{N}}_{\hat{\lambda}} - \lambda \right).$$

This is exactly the form

$$\frac{\partial \ln p(\mathbf{x}; \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = I(\lambda)(\hat{\lambda} - \lambda).$$

The MVU estimator is thus given by  $\hat{\lambda} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N x_n}{N}$ .

(d)

$$\hat{\lambda} = E[\lambda | x_1, \dots, x_N] = \frac{\int_0^c \lambda e^{-N\lambda} \lambda^{\left(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n\right)} \frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^N x_n!} \frac{1}{c} d\lambda}{\int_0^c e^{-N\lambda} \lambda^{\left(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n\right)} \frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^N x_n!} \frac{1}{c} d\lambda} = \frac{\int_0^c e^{-N\lambda} \lambda^{1+\left(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n\right)} d\lambda}{\int_0^c e^{-N\lambda} \lambda^{\left(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n\right)} d\lambda}$$

Using Eq. (1) we then obtain

$$E[\lambda | x_1, \dots, x_N] = \frac{N^{-(2+\left(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n\right))} \gamma(2 + \sum_{n=1}^N x_n, Nc)}{N^{-(1+\left(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n\right))} \gamma(1 + \sum_{n=1}^N x_n, Nc)} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\gamma(2 + \sum_{n=1}^N x_n, Nc)}{\gamma(1 + \sum_{n=1}^N x_n, Nc)}.$$

Notice that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(s, x) = \Gamma(s)$$

and that  $\Gamma(x+1)/\Gamma(x) = x$ . For  $c \rightarrow \infty$  we thus obtain

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} E[\lambda | x_1, \dots, x_N] = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\Gamma(2 + \left(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n\right))}{\Gamma(1 + \left(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n\right))} = \frac{1 + \sum_{n=1}^N x_n}{N}$$

(e)  $\lambda_{MAP} = \arg \max_{\lambda} \log p(\lambda|x_1, \dots, x_N)$ . Function  $\log p(\lambda|x_1, \dots, x_N)$  is concave for  $\lambda \in [0, c]$ .

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \log p(\lambda|x_1, \dots, x_N) = \frac{d}{d\lambda} \log p(\lambda) + \log p(x_1, \dots, x_N|\lambda) - \log p(x_1, \dots, x_N) =$$

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} - N\lambda + \left( \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \right) \log(\lambda) = -N + \frac{(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n)}{\lambda}.$$

Thus,  $\lambda_{MAP} = \frac{(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n)}{N}$  for  $\frac{(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n)}{N} < c$ . Otherwise,  $\lambda_{MAP} = c$ .

(f)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\lambda} = E[\lambda|x_1, \dots, x_N] &= \frac{\int_0^{\infty} \lambda e^{-N\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n)}}{\prod_{n=1}^N x_n!} a e^{-a\lambda} d\lambda}{\int_0^{\infty} e^{-N\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n)}}{\prod_{n=1}^N x_n!} a e^{-a\lambda} d\lambda} = \frac{\int_0^{\infty} e^{-(a+N)\lambda} \lambda^{(1+\sum_{n=1}^N x_n)} d\lambda}{\int_0^{\infty} e^{-(a+N)\lambda} \lambda^{(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n)} d\lambda} = \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{(N+a)^{2+\sum_{n=1}^N x_n}} \Gamma\left(2 + \sum_{n=1}^N x_n\right)}{\frac{1}{(N+a)^{1+\sum_{n=1}^N x_n}} \Gamma\left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^N x_n\right)} = \frac{1 + \sum_{n=1}^N x_n}{N + a} \end{aligned}$$

If we define  $\alpha = \frac{N}{N+a}$ , we can write

$$E[\lambda|x_1, \dots, x_N] = \alpha \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N x_n}{N} + (1 - \alpha)E[\lambda],$$

which clearly shows the trade-off between the data  $(x_1, \dots, x_N)$  and the information of the prior by means of  $E[\lambda]$ .

(g)  $\lambda_{MAP} = \arg \max_{\lambda} \log p(\lambda|x_1, \dots, x_N)$ . Function  $\log p(\lambda|x_1, \dots, x_N)$  is concave.

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \log p(\lambda|x_1, \dots, x_N) = \frac{d}{d\lambda} \log p(\lambda) + \log p(x_1, \dots, x_N|\lambda) - \log p(x_1, \dots, x_N) =$$

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} - (a + N)\lambda + \left( \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \right) \log(\lambda) = -(a + N) + \frac{(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n)}{\lambda}.$$

Thus,  $\lambda_{MAP} = \frac{(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n)}{N+a}$