Applied Convex Optimization

Circuit and Systems Group

Delft University of Technology

Tuesday 11th December, 2018



Outline

1 First Half

CVX: A Convex Optimisation Toolbox Convex Sets Convex Functions

2 Second Half

Converting Convex Problems Lagrange Duality



Next Subsection

First Half CVX: A Convex Optimisation Toolbox Convex Sets

Convex Functions

2 Second Half

Converting Convex Problems Lagrange Duality



What is CVX?

- CVX is a modeling system for convex optimisation problems
- Website: http://cvxr.com/cvx





Structure of Convex Problems

Mathematically¹

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & f_0(\mathbf{x}) \\ \text{s.t.} & f_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq \mathbf{0}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, m \\ & h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad j = 1, \cdots, p \end{split}$$

In CVX

cvx_begin variables x(n)minimize (f0(x))subject to f(x) <= 0A * x - b == 0cvx_end

 ${}^{1}f_{0}$ and f_{i} must be convex and h_{i} must be affine.

Return Values

Upon exit, CVX sets the variables

- x solution variables(s) x*
- cvx_optval the optimal value p*
- cvx_status solver status (Solved, Unbounded, Infeasible,...)

• • • •

Basic Example - LP

Optimization Problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \\ & \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{array}$$

In CVX

cvx_begin variables x(n)minimize (c' * x) subject to A * x - b == 0 $x \ge 0$ cvx_end



Basic Example - LP

Demo in Matlab





Beam Pattern Optimization

Given an arbitrary N element antenna array, design a configuration for the antennas such that







Beam Pattern Optimization

Given an arbitrary N element antenna array, design a configuration for the antennas such that

 The gain in a target direction is unity (target signal is preserved)









Beam Pattern Optimization

Given an arbitrary N element antenna array, design a configuration for the antennas such that

- The gain in a target direction is unity (target signal is preserved)
- The worst case side lobe gain of the setup is minimized







Beam Pattern Optimization

Given an arbitrary N element antenna array, design a configuration for the antennas such that

- The gain in a target direction is unity (target signal is preserved)
- The worst case side lobe gain of the setup is minimized

The task is to design a set of weights **w** to meet these performance requirements.



Problem Setup

• Discretise angles of arrival into M points i.e. $\theta = 1, 2, \cdots, 360$ and split into main lobe and side lobe regions.



- Discretise angles of arrival into M points i.e. $\theta = 1, 2, \cdots, 360$ and split into main lobe and side lobe regions.
- Given a weight vector **w**, the gain response of the array in direction *i* is given by $|\mathbf{a}_i^H \mathbf{w}|$ where \mathbf{a}_i captures the natural response of the antennas (i.e. delay and scaling).



- Discretise angles of arrival into *M* points i.e. $\theta = 1, 2, \dots, 360$ and split into main lobe and side lobe regions.
- Given a weight vector **w**, the gain response of the array in direction *i* is given by $|\mathbf{a}_i^H \mathbf{w}|$ where \mathbf{a}_i captures the natural response of the antennas (i.e. delay and scaling).
- Stacking all angles in the side lobe region into a matrix $\mathbf{A}_{\rm sl}$, the worst case side lobe gain is given by $\|\mathbf{A}_{\rm sl}^H \mathbf{w}\|_{\infty}$



- Discretise angles of arrival into *M* points i.e. $\theta = 1, 2, \dots, 360$ and split into main lobe and side lobe regions.
- Given a weight vector **w**, the gain response of the array in direction *i* is given by $|\mathbf{a}_i^H \mathbf{w}|$ where \mathbf{a}_i captures the natural response of the antennas (i.e. delay and scaling).
- Stacking all angles in the side lobe region into a matrix $\mathbf{A}_{\rm sl}$, the worst case side lobe gain is given by $\|\mathbf{A}_{\rm sl}^H \mathbf{w}\|_{\infty}$



- Discretise angles of arrival into M points i.e. $\theta = 1, 2, \cdots, 360$ and split into main lobe and side lobe regions.
- Given a weight vector **w**, the gain response of the array in direction *i* is given by $|\mathbf{a}_i^H \mathbf{w}|$ where \mathbf{a}_i captures the natural response of the antennas (i.e. delay and scaling).
- Stacking all angles in the side lobe region into a matrix $\mathbf{A}_{\rm sl}$, the worst case side lobe gain is given by $\|\mathbf{A}_{\rm sl}^H \mathbf{w}\|_{\infty}$

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \|\mathbf{A}_{sl}^{H}\mathbf{w}\|_{\infty}$$
s.t. $\mathbf{a}_{tar}^{H}\mathbf{w} = 1$



Problem Setup

n

- Discretise angles of arrival into M points i.e. $\theta = 1, 2, \dots, 360$ and split into main lobe and side lobe regions.
- Given a weight vector w, the gain response of the array in direction i is given by $|\mathbf{a}_i^H \mathbf{w}|$ where \mathbf{a}_i captures the natural response of the antennas (i.e. delay and scaling).
- Stacking all angles in the side lobe region into a matrix A_{s1}, the worst case side lobe gain is given by $\|\mathbf{A}_{s1}^{H}\mathbf{w}\|_{\infty}$

$$\begin{split} \underset{\mathbf{w}}{\min} & \|\mathbf{A}_{sl}^{H}\mathbf{w}\|_{\infty} & \text{cvx_begin} \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{a}_{tar}^{H}\mathbf{w} = 1 & \text{winimize } (\text{norm}(A_sl' * w, \text{Inf})) \\ & \text{subject to} \\ & a_tar' * w == 1 \end{split}$$



Optimized Beam Responses





Demo in Matlab



Next Subsection

First Half
 CVX: A Convex Optimisation Toolbox
 Convex Sets
 Convex Functions

2 Second Half

Converting Convex Problems Lagrange Duality





Methods

• Definition - C is convex if and only if $\forall x_1, x_2 \in C, \ \theta \in \{0, 1\}, \ \theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2 \in C.$



- Definition C is convex if and only if $\forall x_1, x_2 \in C, \ \theta \in \{0, 1\}, \ \theta x_1 + (1 \theta)x_2 \in C.$
- Convexity Preserving Operations



- Definition C is convex if and only if $\forall x_1, x_2 \in C, \ \theta \in \{0, 1\}, \ \theta x_1 + (1 \theta)x_2 \in C.$
- Convexity Preserving Operations
 - Intersection of convex sets



- Definition C is convex if and only if $\forall x_1, x_2 \in C, \ \theta \in \{0, 1\}, \ \theta x_1 + (1 \theta)x_2 \in C.$
- Convexity Preserving Operations
 - Intersection of convex sets
 - Image of a convex set under an affine mapping



- Definition C is convex if and only if $\forall x_1, x_2 \in C, \ \theta \in \{0, 1\}, \ \theta x_1 + (1 \theta)x_2 \in C.$
- Convexity Preserving Operations
 - Intersection of convex sets
 - Image of a convex set under an affine mapping
 - • •



Example 1: Quadratic Constraint Set

Show that the quadratic constraint set

$$C = \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{q}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} + c \leq 0\}$$

is convex if $\mathbf{Q} \succeq \mathbf{0}$.



Example 1: Quadratic Constraint Set

Show that the quadratic constraint set

$$C = \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{x} + c \le 0\}$$

is convex if $\mathbf{Q} \succeq \mathbf{0}$.

(Using the definition of a convex set is an unnecessarily hard way of showing this)



Example 1: Quadratic Constraint Set

Show that the quadratic constraint set

$$C = \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{x} + c \le 0\}$$

is convex if $\mathbf{Q} \succeq \mathbf{0}$.

(Using the definition of a convex set is an unnecessarily hard way of showing this) **Three Alternatives**



Example 1: Quadratic Constraint Set

Show that the quadratic constraint set

$$C = \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{x} + c \le 0\}$$

is convex if $\mathbf{Q} \succeq \mathbf{0}$.

(Using the definition of a convex set is an unnecessarily hard way of showing this)

Three Alternatives

Properties of convex sets

Example 1: Quadratic Constraint Set

Show that the quadratic constraint set

$$C = \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{x} + c \le 0\}$$

is convex if $\mathbf{Q} \succeq \mathbf{0}$.

(Using the definition of a convex set is an unnecessarily hard way of showing this)

Three Alternatives

- Properties of convex sets
- Relationship with known convex sets



Example 1: Quadratic Constraint Set

Show that the quadratic constraint set

$$C = \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{x} + c \le 0\}$$

is convex if $\mathbf{Q} \succeq \mathbf{0}$.

(Using the definition of a convex set is an unnecessarily hard way of showing this)

Three Alternatives

- Properties of convex sets
- Relationship with known convex sets
- Using properties of convex functions



Intersection With Arbitrary Line



Intersection With Arbitrary Line

Recall that a set is convex if and only if its intersection with an arbitrary line is convex.


Intersection With Arbitrary Line

Recall that a set is convex if and only if its intersection with an arbitrary line is convex.

Define the arbitrary line $\mathbf{b} + t\mathbf{v}$ where $t \in \mathbb{R}$.



Intersection With Arbitrary Line

Recall that a set is convex if and only if its intersection with an arbitrary line is convex.

Define the arbitrary line $\mathbf{b} + t\mathbf{v}$ where $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By substitution

$$\mathbf{x}^{T}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{q}^{T}\mathbf{x} + c = (\mathbf{b} + t\mathbf{v})^{T}\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{b} + t\mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{q}^{T}(\mathbf{b} + t\mathbf{v}) + c$$
$$= \alpha t^{2} + \beta t + \gamma$$

where $\alpha = \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{v}$, $\beta = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{v}$ and $\gamma = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{b} + c$.

If $\alpha \geq 0$, C is a simple ellipsoid and is convex. For $\alpha \geq 0 \forall \mathbf{v}$, $\mathbf{Q} \succeq 0$.



Relationship With Euclidean Ball



Relationship With Euclidean Ball

Recall that the Euclidean ball given by

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ \mathbf{x} \mid (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c)^T \mathbf{P}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c) \leq 1 \}$$

where $\mathbf{P} \succ \mathbf{0}$.



Relationship With Euclidean Ball

Recall that the Euclidean ball given by

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ \mathbf{x} \mid (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c)^T \, \mathbf{P}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c \right) \leq 1 \}$$

where $\mathbf{P} \succ \mathbf{0}$.

Expanding the quadratic form

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{x}_{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}_{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{c} \leq 1 \}$$



Relationship With Euclidean Ball

Recall that the Euclidean ball given by

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ \mathbf{x} \mid (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c)^T \mathbf{P}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c) \leq 1 \}$$

where $\mathbf{P} \succ \mathbf{0}$.

Expanding the quadratic form

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{x}_{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}_{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{c} \leq 1 \}$$

which can be rewritten in the form

$$C = \{ \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{q}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} + c \leq 0 \}.$$



Relationship With Euclidean Ball

Recall that the Euclidean ball given by

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ \mathbf{x} \mid (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c)^T \mathbf{P}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c) \leq 1 \}$$

where $\mathbf{P} \succ \mathbf{0}$.

Expanding the quadratic form

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{x}_{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}_{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{c} \leq 1 \}$$

which can be rewritten in the form

$$C = \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{q}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} + c \leq 0\}.$$

Thus convexity is proven by association with a known convex set.



Convexity of Quadratic Functions



Convexity of Quadratic Functions

If $\mathbf{Q} \succeq \mathbf{0}$ then we know that the quadratic function $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x}$ is convex.



Convexity of Quadratic Functions

If $\mathbf{Q} \succeq \mathbf{0}$ then we know that the quadratic function $\mathbf{x}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x}$ is convex.

Therefore, $\forall \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in C, \ \theta \in \{0,1\}$ it follows that

 $\left(\theta \mathsf{x}_1 + (1-\theta)\mathsf{x}_2\right)^T \mathsf{Q} \left(\theta \mathsf{x}_1 + (1-\theta)\mathsf{x}_2\right) \leq \theta \mathsf{x}_1^T \mathsf{Q} \mathsf{x}_1 + (1-\theta)\mathsf{x}_2^T \mathsf{Q} \mathsf{x}_2$



Convexity of Quadratic Functions

If $\boldsymbol{Q} \succeq \boldsymbol{0}$ then we know that the quadratic function $\boldsymbol{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{x}$ is convex.

Therefore, $\forall \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in C, \ \theta \in \{0,1\}$ it follows that

$$\left(\theta \mathbf{x}_1 + (1-\theta)\mathbf{x}_2\right)^T \mathbf{Q} \left(\theta \mathbf{x}_1 + (1-\theta)\mathbf{x}_2\right) \leq \theta \mathbf{x}_1^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x}_1 + (1-\theta)\mathbf{x}_2^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x}_2$$

Therefore, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\theta \mathbf{x}_1 + (1-\theta)\mathbf{x}_2)^T \, \mathbf{Q} \left(\theta \mathbf{x}_1 + (1-\theta)\mathbf{x}_2 \right) + \mathbf{q}^T \left(\theta \mathbf{x}_1 + (1-\theta)\mathbf{x}_2 \right) + c \\ & \leq \theta \left(\mathbf{x}_1^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{x}_1 + c \right) + (1-\theta) \left(\mathbf{x}_2^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{x}_2 + c \right) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

such that C is a convex set.

Example Problem: Hyperbolic Constraint Sets

Example 2: Hyperbolic Sets



Example Problem: Hyperbolic Constraint Sets

Example 2: Hyperbolic Sets

Show that the hyperbolic constraint set

$$C = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ \mid x_1 x_2 \ge 1\}$$

is convex.



Example Problem: Hyperbolic Constraint Sets

Example 2: Hyperbolic Sets

Show that the hyperbolic constraint set

$$C = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ \mid x_1 x_2 \ge 1\}$$

is convex.

$$\mathsf{Hint:} \ \mathsf{If} \ a,b \geq 0 \ \mathsf{and} \ \theta \in [0,1], \ \mathsf{then} \ a^\theta b^{(1-\theta)} \leq \theta a + (1-\theta) b.$$



Consider two arbitrary points \mathbf{x}_a , $\mathbf{x}_b \in C$ and a scalar $\theta \in [0, 1]$.



Consider two arbitrary points $\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{x}_b \in C$ and a scalar $\theta \in [0, 1]$. We want to show that

$$(\theta x_{a,1} + (1 - \theta) x_{b,1})(\theta x_{a,2} + (1 - \theta) x_{b,2}) \ge 1$$

such that $\theta \mathbf{x}_a + (1 - \theta) \mathbf{x}_b \in C$.



Consider two arbitrary points $\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{x}_b \in C$ and a scalar $\theta \in [0, 1]$. We want to show that

$$(\theta x_{a,1} + (1 - \theta) x_{b,1})(\theta x_{a,2} + (1 - \theta) x_{b,2}) \ge 1$$

such that $\theta \mathbf{x}_a + (1 - \theta) \mathbf{x}_b \in C$.

From the hint we know that

$$egin{aligned} & heta x_{a,1} + (1- heta) x_{b,1} \geq x_{a,1}^{ heta} x_{b,1}^{(1- heta)} \ & heta x_{a,2} + (1- heta) x_{b,2} \geq x_{a,2}^{ heta} x_{b,2}^{(1- heta)} \end{aligned}$$



Consider two arbitrary points \mathbf{x}_a , $\mathbf{x}_b \in C$ and a scalar $\theta \in [0, 1]$. We want to show that

$$(\theta x_{a,1} + (1 - \theta) x_{b,1})(\theta x_{a,2} + (1 - \theta) x_{b,2}) \ge 1$$

such that $\theta \mathbf{x}_a + (1 - \theta) \mathbf{x}_b \in C$.

From the hint we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta x_{a,1} + (1-\theta) x_{b,1} &\geq x_{a,1}^{\theta} x_{b,1}^{(1-\theta)} \\ \theta x_{a,2} + (1-\theta) x_{b,2} &\geq x_{a,2}^{\theta} x_{b,2}^{(1-\theta)} \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$(\theta x_{a,1} + (1-\theta)x_{b,1})(\theta x_{a,2} + (1-\theta)x_{b,2}) \ge x_{a,1}^{\theta} x_{b,1}^{(1-\theta)} x_{a,2}^{\theta} x_{b,2}^{(1-\theta)}$$



$$(\theta x_{a,1} + (1-\theta)x_{b,1})(\theta x_{a,2} + (1-\theta)x_{b,2}) \ge x_{a,1}^{\theta} x_{b,1}^{(1-\theta)} x_{a,2}^{\theta} x_{b,2}^{(1-\theta)}$$



$$(\theta x_{a,1} + (1-\theta)x_{b,1})(\theta x_{a,2} + (1-\theta)x_{b,2}) \ge x_{a,1}^{\theta} x_{b,1}^{(1-\theta)} x_{a,2}^{\theta} x_{b,2}^{(1-\theta)}$$

Rearranging and applying the definition of C we get

$$egin{aligned} &(heta x_{a,1} + (1- heta) x_{b,1})(heta x_{a,2} + (1- heta) x_{b,2}) &\geq (x_{a,1} x_{a,2})^{ heta} (x_{b,1} x_{b,2})^{(1- heta)} \ &\geq 1^{ heta} 1^{(1- heta)} \ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$



$$(\theta x_{a,1} + (1-\theta)x_{b,1})(\theta x_{a,2} + (1-\theta)x_{b,2}) \ge x_{a,1}^{\theta} x_{b,1}^{(1-\theta)} x_{a,2}^{\theta} x_{b,2}^{(1-\theta)}$$

Rearranging and applying the definition of C we get

$$egin{aligned} &(heta x_{a,1}+(1- heta)x_{b,1})(heta x_{a,2}+(1- heta)x_{b,2}) \geq (x_{a,1}x_{a,2})^{ heta}(x_{b,1}x_{b,2})^{(1- heta)}\ &\geq 1^{ heta}1^{(1- heta)}\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(\theta x_{a,1} + (1 - \theta) x_{b,1})(\theta x_{a,2} + (1 - \theta) x_{b,2}) \geq 1$$

for all $\mathbf{x}_a, \ \mathbf{x}_b \in C$ and a $\theta \in [0, 1]$.



$$(\theta x_{a,1} + (1-\theta)x_{b,1})(\theta x_{a,2} + (1-\theta)x_{b,2}) \ge x_{a,1}^{\theta} x_{b,1}^{(1-\theta)} x_{a,2}^{\theta} x_{b,2}^{(1-\theta)}$$

Rearranging and applying the definition of C we get

$$egin{aligned} &(heta x_{a,1}+(1- heta)x_{b,1})(heta x_{a,2}+(1- heta)x_{b,2}) \geq (x_{a,1}x_{a,2})^{ heta}(x_{b,1}x_{b,2})^{(1- heta)}\ &\geq 1^{ heta}1^{(1- heta)}\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(heta x_{a,1}+(1- heta)x_{b,1})(heta x_{a,2}+(1- heta)x_{b,2})\geq 1$$

for all \mathbf{x}_a , $\mathbf{x}_b \in C$ and a $\theta \in [0, 1]$. In this way, C is a convex set.



Next Subsection

1 First Half

CVX: A Convex Optimisation Toolbox Convex Sets Convex Functions

2 Second Half

Converting Convex Problems Lagrange Duality





Methods

• Definition - f is convex if and only if $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \text{dom}(f), \theta \in \{0, 1\}, f(\theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2) \leq \theta f(x_1) + (1 - \theta)f(x_2).$



- Definition f is convex if and only if $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \text{dom}(f), \ \theta \in \{0, 1\}, \ f(\theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2) \le \theta f(x_1) + (1 - \theta)f(x_2).$
- 1st order condition $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \text{dom}(f), f(x_1) \ge f(x_2) + \nabla f(x_2)^T (x_1 x_2)$



- Definition f is convex if and only if $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \text{dom}(f), \ \theta \in \{0, 1\}, \ f(\theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2) \le \theta f(x_1) + (1 - \theta)f(x_2).$
- 1st order condition $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \text{dom}(f), f(x_1) \ge f(x_2) + \nabla f(x_2)^T (x_1 x_2)$
- 2nd order condition $\forall x_1 \in \text{dom}(f), \nabla^2 f(x_1) \succ 0$



- Definition f is convex if and only if $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \operatorname{dom}(f), \ \theta \in \{0, 1\}, \ f(\theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2) \le \theta f(x_1) + (1 - \theta)f(x_2).$
- 1st order condition $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \text{dom}(f), f(x_1) \ge f(x_2) + \nabla f(x_2)^T (x_1 x_2)$
- 2nd order condition $\forall x_1 \in \text{dom}(f), \nabla^2 f(x_1) \succ 0$
- Composition rules f(x) = h ∘ g(x) is convex if either h is convex, h' is non-decreasing and g is convex or h is convex, h' is non-increasing and g is concave.

Example Problem 1

Show that the function

$$f(x,t) = -\log(t^p - \|x\|_p^p)$$

is convex if where $p \ge 2$ and $\operatorname{dom}(f) = \{(x, t) | t > ||x||_p\}$.



Example Problem 1

Show that the function

$$f(x,t) = -\log(t^p - \|x\|_p^p)$$

is convex if where $p \ge 2$ and $\operatorname{dom}(f) = \{(x, t) | t > ||x||_p\}$.

(Using the definition of a convex function is unnecessarily hard)



Example Problem 1

Show that the function

$$f(x,t) = -\log(t^p - \|x\|_p^p)$$

is convex if where $p \ge 2$ and $\operatorname{dom}(f) = \{(x, t) | t > ||x||_p\}$.

(Using the definition of a convex function is unnecessarily hard) Alternatively we can use convexity preserving composition rules.



Example Problem 1

Begin by noting that

$$\begin{split} f(x,t) &= -\log(t^p - \|x\|_p^p) \\ &= -\log(t^{p-1}) - \log\left(t - \frac{\|x\|_p^p}{t^{p-1}}\right) \\ &= -(p-1)\log(t) - \log\left(t - \frac{\|x\|_p^p}{t^{p-1}}\right). \end{split}$$



Example Problem 1

Begin by noting that

$$\begin{split} f(x,t) &= -\log(t^{\rho} - \|x\|_{\rho}^{\rho}) \\ &= -\log(t^{\rho-1}) - \log\left(t - \frac{\|x\|_{\rho}^{\rho}}{t^{\rho-1}}\right) \\ &= -(\rho-1)\log(t) - \log\left(t - \frac{\|x\|_{\rho}^{\rho}}{t^{\rho-1}}\right). \end{split}$$

The left hand term is a convex function.



Example Problem 1

Begin by noting that

$$\begin{split} f(x,t) &= -\log(t^{\rho} - \|x\|_{\rho}^{\rho}) \\ &= -\log(t^{\rho-1}) - \log\left(t - \frac{\|x\|_{\rho}^{\rho}}{t^{\rho-1}}\right) \\ &= -(\rho-1)\log(t) - \log\left(t - \frac{\|x\|_{\rho}^{\rho}}{t^{\rho-1}}\right). \end{split}$$

The left hand term is a convex function. The right hand term is the composition of a convex, non-increasing function and

$$t - \frac{\|x\|_p^p}{t^{p-1}} \ge 0.$$



Example Problem 1

Begin by noting that

$$\begin{split} f(x,t) &= -\log(t^{\rho} - \|x\|_{\rho}^{\rho}) \\ &= -\log(t^{\rho-1}) - \log\left(t - \frac{\|x\|_{\rho}^{\rho}}{t^{\rho-1}}\right) \\ &= -(\rho-1)\log(t) - \log\left(t - \frac{\|x\|_{\rho}^{\rho}}{t^{\rho-1}}\right). \end{split}$$

The left hand term is a convex function. The right hand term is the composition of a convex, non-increasing function and

$$t-\frac{\|x\|_p^p}{t^{p-1}}\geq 0$$

To show convexity this term must be concave, i.e. we want to show that $\frac{\|x\|_{p}^{p}}{t^{p-1}}$ is convex.



Example Problem 1


Example Problem 1

We can show convexity by noting a relationship with the known convex function $\frac{z}{s}$ i.e the perspective function.



Example Problem 1

We can show convexity by noting a relationship with the known convex function $\frac{z}{s}$ i.e the perspective function.

The perspective function is linear in z such that

 $\frac{\|x\|_p^p}{s}$

is convex



Example Problem 1

We can show convexity by noting a relationship with the known convex function $\frac{z}{s}$ i.e the perspective function.

The perspective function is linear in z such that

$\frac{\|x\|_p^p}{s}$

is convex

This function is convex in s > 0 and has a non-decreasing derivative such that

$$\frac{\|x\|_p^p}{t^{p-1}}$$

is convex for $p \ge 2$.



Example Problem 2

Show that the function

$$f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{(1-\alpha)}$$

is convex if where $\alpha \in [0,1]$ and $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$.



Example Problem 2

Show that the function

$$f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{(1-\alpha)}$$

is convex if where $\alpha \in [0,1]$ and $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$.

Chosen approach: 2nd order condition for convexity.



$$f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{(1-\alpha)}$$



$$f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{(1-\alpha)}$$



$$f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{(1-\alpha)}$$

$$\nabla f(x_1, x_2) = -\begin{bmatrix} \alpha x_1^{\alpha-1} x_2^{(1-\alpha)} \\ (1-\alpha) x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{-\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$$



$$f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{(1-\alpha)}$$

$$\nabla f(x_1, x_2) = -\begin{bmatrix} \alpha x_1^{\alpha - 1} x_2^{(1-\alpha)} \\ (1-\alpha) x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{-\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\nabla f(x_1, x_2)^2 = - \begin{bmatrix} \alpha(\alpha - 1)x_1^{\alpha - 2}x_2^{(1 - \alpha)} & \alpha(1 - \alpha)x_1^{\alpha - 1}x_2^{(-\alpha)} \\ \alpha(1 - \alpha)x_1^{\alpha - 1}x_2^{(-\alpha)} & (1 - \alpha)(-\alpha)x_1^{\alpha}x_2^{(-\alpha - 1)} \end{bmatrix}$$



$$f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{(1-\alpha)}$$

$$\nabla f(x_1, x_2) = - \begin{bmatrix} \alpha x_1^{\alpha - 1} x_2^{(1-\alpha)} \\ (1-\alpha) x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{-\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\nabla f(x_1, x_2)^2 = - \begin{bmatrix} \alpha(\alpha - 1)x_1^{\alpha - 2}x_2^{(1-\alpha)} & \alpha(1-\alpha)x_1^{\alpha - 1}x_2^{(-\alpha)} \\ \alpha(1-\alpha)x_1^{\alpha - 1}x_2^{(-\alpha)} & (1-\alpha)(-\alpha)x_1^{\alpha}x_2^{(-\alpha-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \alpha(1-\alpha)x_1^{\alpha}x_2^{1-\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{x_1^2} & \frac{-1}{x_{1x_2}} \\ \frac{-1}{x_1x_2} & \frac{1}{x_2^2} \end{bmatrix}$$



$$f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{(1-\alpha)}$$

$$\nabla f(x_1, x_2) = -\begin{bmatrix} \alpha x_1^{\alpha-1} x_2^{(1-\alpha)} \\ (1-\alpha) x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{-\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\nabla f(x_1, x_2)^2 = -\begin{bmatrix} \alpha(\alpha - 1)x_1^{\alpha - 2}x_2^{(1 - \alpha)} & \alpha(1 - \alpha)x_1^{\alpha - 1}x_2^{(-\alpha)} \\ \alpha(1 - \alpha)x_1^{\alpha - 1}x_2^{(-\alpha)} & (1 - \alpha)(-\alpha)x_1^{\alpha}x_2^{(-\alpha - 1)} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \alpha(1 - \alpha)x_1^{\alpha}x_2^{1 - \alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{x_1^2} & \frac{-1}{x_1x_2} \\ \frac{-1}{x_1x_2} & \frac{1}{x_2^2} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \alpha(1 - \alpha)x_1^{\alpha}x_2^{1 - \alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{x_1} \\ \frac{-1}{x_2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{x_1} \\ \frac{-1}{x_2} \end{bmatrix}^T \succeq \mathbf{0}$$



Next Subsection

First Half

CVX: A Convex Optimisation Toolbox Convex Sets Convex Functions

2 Second Half

Converting Convex Problems Lagrange Duality



General Form Problem



General Form Problem

Linear Program

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} - d \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{h} \\ & \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \end{array}$$



General Form Problem

Linear Program

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{d}$$
s.t.
$$\mathbf{G}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{h}$$

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

Quadratic Program

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{h} \\ & \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \end{array}$$



General Form Problem

Linear Program

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} - d$$
s.t.
$$\mathbf{G}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{h}$$

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

Second Order Cone Program

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \|\mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_i\|_2 \preceq \mathbf{0} \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, m \\ \mathbf{A}_0 \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_0 = \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

Quadratic Program

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{x} \\ \mathrm{s.t.} & \mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} \preceq \mathbf{h} \\ & \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \end{array}$$



General Form Problem

Linear Program

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} - d$$
s.t.
$$\mathbf{G}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{h}$$

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

Second Order Cone Program

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \|\mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_i\|_2 \leq \mathbf{0} \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, m \\ \mathbf{A}_0 \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_0 = \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

Semidefinite Program

Quadratic Program

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad x_1 F \mathbf{1} + \dots + x_n F_n + G \leq \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \end{array}$$



Converting Convex Problems

Why bother converting?

- An additional method to show that a problem is convex
- Specific solvers may be designed for certain problem classes i.e LP, QP
- Use of such solvers can result in must computation.



Linear Programs

General Form Problem

Linear Program

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} - d \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{G}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{h} \\ & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \end{array}$$

Standard Form Problem

Linear Program

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} - d \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{x} \succeq \mathbf{0} \\ & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \end{array}$$



Example Problem 1

Consider the problem

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \\ \text{s.t.} & \|\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_\infty \leq 1 \end{split}$$

Convert the problem to a standard form problem of your choice.



Example Problem 1

Consider the problem

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \\ \text{s.t.} & \|\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_\infty \leq 1 \end{split}$$

Convert the problem to a standard form problem of your choice.

Is this a convex problem?



$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |x_{i}|$$
s.t.
$$\|\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{\infty} \leq 1$$



$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |x_{i}|$$
s.t.
$$\|\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{\infty} \leq 1$$

We will address the objective first.



$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |x_{i}|$$
s.t.
$$\|\mathbf{A}^{T}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{\infty} \leq 1$$

We will address the objective first. We can introduce the additional vector variable \boldsymbol{v} such that

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{v}_{i} = \mathbf{1}^{T} \mathbf{v} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \|\mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \\ & |x_{i}| \leq \mathbf{v}_{i} \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \end{split}$$



$$\begin{array}{l} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{v} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \|\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \\ |x_i| \leq v_i \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \end{array}$$



$$\begin{array}{l} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{v} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \|\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \\ |x_i| \leq v_i \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \end{array}$$



$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{v}$$
s.t. $\|\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{\infty} \leq 1$
 $|x_i| \leq v_i \ \forall \ i = 1, \cdots, N$

We can then address the first constraint by noting its equivalence to

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{v} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad |\mathbf{a}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} - b_{i}| \leq 1 \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \\ |x_{i}| \leq v_{i} \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{v} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad |\mathbf{a}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} - b_{i}| \leq 1 \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \\ |x_{i}| \leq v_{i} \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{v} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad |\mathbf{a}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} - b_{i}| \leq 1 \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \\ |x_{i}| \leq v_{i} \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \end{split}$$

Finally we can use the fact that all our variables are real valued to rewrite the inequality constraints as affine inequality constraints.



$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{v} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad |\mathbf{a}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} - b_{i}| \leq 1 \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \\ |x_{i}| \leq v_{i} \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \end{split}$$

Finally we can use the fact that all our variables are real valued to rewrite the inequality constraints as affine inequality constraints.

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{v}$$
s.t.
$$-\mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{a}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} - b_{i} \leq \mathbf{1} \forall i = 1, \cdots, \mathbf{N}$$

$$- v_{i} \leq x_{i} \leq v_{i} \forall i = 1, \cdots, \mathbf{N}$$



$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{v} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad |\mathbf{a}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} - b_{i}| \leq 1 \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \\ |x_{i}| \leq v_{i} \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \end{split}$$

Finally we can use the fact that all our variables are real valued to rewrite the inequality constraints as affine inequality constraints.

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{v} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad -\mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x} - b_i \leq \mathbf{1} \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \\ \quad -\mathbf{v}_i \leq x_i \leq \mathbf{v}_i \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \end{split}$$

The resulting problem is therefore an LP

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{v}$$
s.t.
$$-1 \leq \mathbf{a}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} - b_{i} \leq 1 \forall i = 1, \cdots, N$$

$$-v_{i} \leq x_{i} \leq v_{i} \forall i = 1, \cdots, N$$



$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{v} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad -1 \leq \mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x} - b_i \leq 1 \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \\ \quad -\mathbf{v}_i \leq \mathbf{x}_i \leq \mathbf{v}_i \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \end{split}$$

Writing this in the general linear program form

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & \mathbf{1}^{T} \mathbf{v} \\ \text{s.t.} & \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{1}^{T} & \mathbf{0}^{T} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_{N}^{T} & \mathbf{0}^{T} \\ -\mathbf{a}_{1}^{T} & \mathbf{0}^{T} \\ \vdots \\ -\mathbf{a}_{N}^{T} & \mathbf{0}^{T} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{1} & -\mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} - b_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{1} - b_{N} \\ -\mathbf{1} - b_{1} \\ -\mathbf{1} - b_{N} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \succeq \mathbf{0}$



Example Problem 2a)

Consider the problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_1}{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} + d} \\ \text{s.t} \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} \le 1$$

where $d > \|\mathbf{c}\|_{1}$.



Example Problem 2a)

Consider the problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_1}{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} + d} \\ \text{s.t} \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} \le 1$$

where $d > \|c\|_{1}$.

Show that the problem is quasi-convex.



$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{1}}{\mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} + d}$$

s.t $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} \leq 1$


$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_1}{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} + d} \\ \text{s.t} \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} \le 1$$

A function is quasi-convex if and only if all its sublevel sets are convex



$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{1}}{\mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} + d}$$

s.t $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} \leq 1$

A function is quasi-convex if and only if all its sublevel sets are convex i.e.

$$S_{\alpha} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \mid \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_1}{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} + d} \leq \alpha \right\}.$$



$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{1}}{\mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} + d}$$

s.t $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} \leq 1$

A function is quasi-convex if and only if all its sublevel sets are convex i.e.

$$\mathcal{S}_{\alpha} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \mid \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_1}{\mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} + d} \leq \alpha
ight\}.$$

Note that this set can be rephrased as

$$\mathcal{S}_{\alpha} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \mid \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{1} \leq \alpha \left(\mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} + d \right) \right\}$$



Plotting the Sub-level Sets in Matlab



The constraints

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_1 \le \alpha \left(\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} + d\right)$$

can be rewritten by introducing the additional vector variable ${\boldsymbol{\mathsf{v}}}$



The constraints

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_1 \le \alpha \left(\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} + d\right)$$

can be rewritten by introducing the additional vector variable \boldsymbol{v} such that

$$\mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{v} \le \alpha \left(\mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} + d \right)$$
$$-\mathbf{v} \le \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} \le \mathbf{v}$$



The constraints

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_1 \le \alpha \left(\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} + d\right)$$

can be rewritten by introducing the additional vector variable ${\bf v}$ such that

$$\mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{v} \le \alpha \left(\mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} + d \right)$$
$$-\mathbf{v} \le \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} \le \mathbf{v}$$

i.e. as a set of standard affine inequalities and thus can be interpreted as an intersection of half-spaces.



Example 2b)

Show that the problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_1}{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} + d} \\ \text{s.t} \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} \le 1$$

is equivalent to

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}t\|_{1} \\ \text{s.t} \quad \|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty} \le t \\ \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y} + dt = 1$$

and ultimately is equivalent to a linear problem.



$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{1}}{\mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} + d} \\ \text{s.t} \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} \le 1$$



$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{1}}{\mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} + d} \\ \text{s.t} \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} \le 1$$

We begin by introducing the additional variable t such that

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad t \| \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} \|_{1} \\ \text{s.t} \quad \| \mathbf{x} \|_{\infty} \leq 1 \\ \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} + d \geq \frac{1}{t} \end{split}$$



$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{1}}{\mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} + d} \\ \text{s.t} \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} \leq 1$$

We begin by introducing the additional variable t such that

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad t \| \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} \|_{1} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \| \mathbf{x} \|_{\infty} \leq 1 \\ \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} + d \geq \frac{1}{t} \end{split}$$

Defining $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}t$, it follows that

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}t\|_{1} \\ \text{s.t} & \|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty} \leq t \\ & \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y} + dt \geq 1 \end{split}$$



$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}t\|_{1} \\ \text{s.t} \quad \|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty} \le t \\ \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y} + dt \ge 1$$



$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}t\|_{1} \\ \text{s.t} \quad \|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty} \le t \\ \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y} + dt \ge 1$$



$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}t\|_{1} \\ \text{s.t} \quad \|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty} \le t \\ \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y} + dt \ge 1$$

Similarly to Example 1, we introduce the vector variable \mathbf{v} such that

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & \mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{v} \\ \text{s.t.} & \|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty} \leq t \\ & \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} + dt \geq 1 \\ & |\mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}_i t| \leq v_i \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{v} \\ \text{s.t} \quad \|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty} \leq t \\ \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{y} + dt \geq 1 \\ |\mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}_i t| \leq v_i \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{v} \\ \text{s.t} \quad \|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty} \leq t \\ \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} + dt \geq 1 \\ |\mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}_i t| \leq v_i \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \end{split}$$

Finally we rewrite the constraints such that

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & \mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{v} \\ \text{s.t} & -t \leq \mathbf{y}_i \leq t \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \\ & \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} + dt \geq 1 \\ & -v_i \leq \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}_i t \leq v_i \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \end{array}$$

which is in the general form of a linear program



Next Subsection

First Half

CVX: A Convex Optimisation Toolbox Convex Sets Convex Functions

2 Second Half

Converting Convex Problems Lagrange Duality



Why Use Lagrangian Duality?



Why Use Lagrangian Duality?

• Provide a convex lower bound of optimal value of primal problem



Why Use Lagrangian Duality?

- Provide a convex lower bound of optimal value of primal problem
- This bound is tight in the case of convex problems



Why Use Lagrangian Duality?

- Provide a convex lower bound of optimal value of primal problem
- This bound is tight in the case of convex problems
- Dual form problem may be easier to solve than primal one.



Why Use Lagrangian Duality?

- Provide a convex lower bound of optimal value of primal problem
- This bound is tight in the case of convex problems
- Dual form problem may be easier to solve than primal one.

• ...



Example Problem 1

Consider the general form LP

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} - d \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{h} \\ & \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \end{array}$



Example Problem 1

Consider the general form LP

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} - d \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{h} \\ & \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \end{array}$$

Find the its equivalent dual problem.



$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} - d \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{h} \\ & \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \end{array}$$



$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{d}$$
s.t.
$$\mathbf{G}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{h}$$

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

The Lagrangian of this LP is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\nu},\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} - d + \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\mathsf{T}}\left(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h}\right) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}}\left(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\right), \text{ s.t. } \boldsymbol{\nu} \geq \mathbf{0}.$$



$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{d} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{G}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{h} \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

The Lagrangian of this LP is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} - d + \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h} \right) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} \right), \text{ s.t. } \boldsymbol{\nu} \geq \mathbf{0}.$$

As this is an affine function of \mathbf{x} the dual function is given by



$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{d} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{G}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{h} \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

The Lagrangian of this LP is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} - d + \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h} \right) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} \right), \text{ s.t. } \boldsymbol{\nu} \geq \mathbf{0}.$$

As this is an affine function of \mathbf{x} the dual function is given by

$$g(\mathbf{\nu}, \mathbf{\mu}) = \inf_{\mathbf{x}} \left(\mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} - d + \mathbf{\nu}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h} \right) + \mathbf{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} \right) \right)$$



$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{d} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{G}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{h} \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

The Lagrangian of this LP is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} - d + \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h} \right) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} \right), \text{ s.t. } \boldsymbol{\nu} \geq \mathbf{0}.$$

As this is an affine function of \mathbf{x} the dual function is given by

$$g(\nu, \mu) = \inf_{\mathbf{x}} \left(\mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} - d + \nu^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h} \right) + \mu^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} \right) \right)$$
$$= \begin{cases} -\nu^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{h} - \mu^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{b} - d & \text{if } \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{G}^{\mathsf{T}} \nu + \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mu = \mathbf{0} \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



The dual problem is therefore

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} - g(\boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$$
s.t. $\boldsymbol{\nu} > \mathbf{0}$



The dual problem is therefore

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad -g(\boldsymbol{
u}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \\ ext{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{
u} \geq \mathbf{0}$$

Therefore substituting the definition of g

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{h} + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{b} + d \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{\nu} \geq \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{G}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\nu} + \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$



The dual problem is therefore

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} - g(\boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$$

s.t. $\boldsymbol{\nu} \geq \mathbf{0}$

Therefore substituting the definition of g

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{h} + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{b} + d$$
s.t.
$$\boldsymbol{\nu} \ge \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{G}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\nu} + \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0}$$

Which is interestingly another LP in standard form.



Example Problem 2

Consider the linearly constrained norm problem

 $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_1$ s.t. $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{d}$



Example Problem 2

Consider the linearly constrained norm problem

 $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}$ s.t. $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{d}$

Find the its equivalent dual problem.



 $\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x}{\min} & \|x\|_1 \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{C} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{d} \end{array}$



 $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_1$ s.t. $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{d}$

The Lagrangian of this problem is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{
u}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{d})$$


$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_1$ s.t. $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{d}$

The Lagrangian of this problem is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{
u}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{d})$$

The dual function is therefore given by

$$g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \inf_{\mathbf{x}} \left(\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{T} (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{d}) \right)$$
$$= -\sup_{\mathbf{x}} \left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{T} (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{d}) - \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} \right)$$
$$= -f^{*}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$$



$$g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = -\sup_{\mathbf{x}} \left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \left(\mathbf{C} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{d} \right) - \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \right)$$



$$g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = -\sup_{\mathbf{x}} \left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{T} \left(\mathbf{C} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{d} \right) - \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} \right)$$
$$= -\sup_{\mathbf{x}} \left(\left(\mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \right)^{T} \mathbf{x} - \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} \right) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{T} \mathbf{d}$$



$$g(\lambda) = -\sup_{\mathbf{x}} \left(\lambda^{T} (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{d}) - \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} \right)$$
$$= -\sup_{\mathbf{x}} \left((\mathbf{C}\lambda)^{T} \mathbf{x} - \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} \right) - \lambda^{T} \mathbf{d}$$
$$= \begin{cases} -\lambda^{T} \mathbf{d} & \text{if } (\mathbf{C}^{T}\lambda)^{T} \mathbf{x} \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



$$g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = -\sup_{\mathbf{x}} \left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{T} \left(\mathbf{C} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{d} \right) - \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} \right)$$
$$= -\sup_{\mathbf{x}} \left(\left(\mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \right)^{T} \mathbf{x} - \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} \right) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{T} \mathbf{d}$$
$$= \begin{cases} -\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{T} \mathbf{d} & \text{if } \left(\mathbf{C}^{T} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \right)^{T} \mathbf{x} \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$\boldsymbol{\mathsf{u}}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{x}} \leq \|\boldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}\|_1 \iff \mathsf{sup}\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{u}}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}\right) \; | \; \|\boldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}\|_1 \leq 1\right\} \leq 1$$



$$g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} -\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{d} & \text{if sup} \left\{ \left(\left(\mathbf{C}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} \right) \mid \| \mathbf{x} \|_{1} \leq 1 \right\} \leq 1 \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



$$g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} -\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{d} & \text{if sup} \left\{ \left(\left(\mathbf{C}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} \right) \mid \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} \leq 1 \right\} \leq 1 \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 $\label{eq:From Example 2.25, sup} \left\{ \left(\bm{u}^{\mathsf{T}} \bm{x} \right) \ | \ \| \bm{x} \| \leq 1 \right\} = \| \bm{u} \|_* \text{ where } \| \bullet \|_* \text{ is the dual norm.}$



$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} g(oldsymbol{\lambda}) = egin{cases} -oldsymbol{\lambda}^{ op} \mathbf{d} & ext{if sup}\left\{ \left(\left(oldsymbol{C}^{ op} oldsymbol{\lambda}
ight)^{ op} \mathbf{x}
ight) \mid \| \mathbf{x} \|_1 \leq 1
ight\} \leq 1 \ -\infty & ext{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

From Example 2.25, sup $\{(\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x}) \mid ||\mathbf{x}|| \leq 1\} = ||\mathbf{u}||_*$ where $|| \bullet ||_*$ is the dual norm. Therefore the dual functions is given by

$$g(oldsymbol{\lambda}) = egin{cases} -oldsymbol{\lambda}^{ op} \mathbf{d} & ext{if } \| \mathbf{C}^{ op} oldsymbol{\lambda} \|_{\infty} \leq 1 \ -\infty & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} -oldsymbol{\lambda} & ext{if } \sup\left\{\left(\left(oldsymbol{C}^{ oldsymbol{ aligned}}oldsymbol{\lambda}
ight)^{ oldsymbol{ aligned}}egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligne$$

From Example 2.25, sup $\{(\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x}) \mid ||\mathbf{x}|| \leq 1\} = ||\mathbf{u}||_*$ where $|| \bullet ||_*$ is the dual norm. Therefore the dual functions is given by

$$g(oldsymbol{\lambda}) = egin{cases} -oldsymbol{\lambda}^{ op} \mathbf{d} & ext{if } \| \mathbf{C}^{ op} oldsymbol{\lambda} \|_{\infty} \leq 1 \ -\infty & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The final dual problem is given by

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} & \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \mathbf{d} \\ \mathbf{s.t.} & \| \mathbf{C}^T \boldsymbol{\lambda} \|_{\infty} \leq 1 \end{array}$$





Channel Capacity Maximisation

Given an N element transmit antenna array, how can we distribute transmission power to maximise the rate of data transmission back to a target source?





Channel Capacity Maximisation

Given an N element transmit antenna array, how can we distribute transmission power to maximise the rate of data transmission back to a target source?

In the case of an additive Gaussian channel, this corresponds to

$$\max_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{x_i}{\sigma_i} \right)$$

s.t. $x_i \ge 0 \ \forall \ i = 1, \cdots, N$
 $\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x} = 1$

where σ_i is the bandwidth and noise variance per antenna.



$$\max_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} B_i \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{x_i}{\sigma_i} \right)$$

s.t. $x_i \ge 0 \ \forall \ i = 1, \cdots, N$
 $\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{1}$



$$\max_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} B_i \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{x_i}{\sigma_i} \right)$$

s.t. $x_i \ge 0 \ \forall \ i = 1, \cdots, N$
 $\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x} = 1$

Is the problem convex?



$$\max_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} B_i \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{x_i}{\sigma_i} \right)$$

s.t. $x_i \ge 0 \ \forall \ i = 1, \cdots, N$
 $\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x} = 1$

Is the problem convex?

Objective

As the composition of a non-decreasing concave function and an affine function, the objective is concave. Maximising a concave function is equivalent to minimising a convex function.



$$\max_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} B_i \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{x_i}{\sigma_i} \right)$$

s.t. $x_i \ge 0 \ \forall \ i = 1, \cdots, N$
 $\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x} = 1$

Is the problem convex?

Objective

As the composition of a non-decreasing concave function and an affine function, the objective is concave. Maximising a concave function is equivalent to minimising a convex function.

Domain

The constraints are affine by nature therefore define a convex set.



Using log laws the objective can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log_2\left(1 + \frac{x_i}{\sigma_i}\right) = \frac{1}{\log(2)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\log\left(\sigma_i + x_i\right) - \log\left(\sigma_i\right)\right)$$



Using log laws the objective can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{x_i}{\sigma_i}\right) = \frac{1}{\log(2)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\log\left(\sigma_i + x_i\right) - \log\left(\sigma_i\right)\right)$$

The problem is equivalent to

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left(\sigma_{i} + x_{i} \right) \\ \text{s.t.} & x_{i} \geq 0 \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \\ & \mathbf{1}^{T} \mathbf{x} = 1 \end{split}$$



Using log laws the objective can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{x_i}{\sigma_i}\right) = \frac{1}{\log(2)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\log\left(\sigma_i + x_i\right) - \log\left(\sigma_i\right)\right)$$

The problem is equivalent to

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left(\sigma_{i} + x_{i} \right) \\ \text{s.t.} & x_{i} \geq 0 \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \\ & \mathbf{1}^{T} \mathbf{x} = 1 \end{split}$$



Using log laws the objective can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{x_i}{\sigma_i}\right) = \frac{1}{\log(2)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\log\left(\sigma_i + x_i\right) - \log\left(\sigma_i\right)\right)$$

The problem is equivalent to

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left(\sigma_{i} + x_{i} \right) \\ \text{s.t.} & x_{i} \geq 0 \; \forall \; i = 1, \cdots, N \\ & \mathbf{1}^{T} \mathbf{x} = 1 \end{split}$$

How can we go about solving this?



The Lagrangian of this problem is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\log \left(\sigma_i + x_i \right) + \nu_i x_i - \mu x_i \right) - \mu$$



The Lagrangian of this problem is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\log \left(\sigma_i + x_i
ight) + \nu_i x_i - \mu x_i
ight) - \mu$$



The Lagrangian of this problem is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\log \left(\sigma_i + x_i \right) + \nu_i x_i - \mu x_i \right) - \mu$$

Primal Optimality
$$-\frac{1}{\sigma_i + x_i^*} - \nu_i^* + \mu^* = 0$$



The Lagrangian of this problem is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\log \left(\sigma_i + x_i \right) + \nu_i x_i - \mu x_i \right) - \mu$$

Primal Optimality
$$-\frac{1}{\sigma_i + x_i^*} - \nu_i^* + \mu^* = 0$$

Primal Feasibility $\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{1}$
 $x_i^* \ge \mathbf{0} \ \forall \ i = 1, \cdots, N$



The Lagrangian of this problem is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\log \left(\sigma_i + x_i \right) + \nu_i x_i - \mu x_i \right) - \mu$$

Primal Optimality
$$-\frac{1}{\sigma_i + x_i^*} - \nu_i^* + \mu^* = 0$$

Primal Feasibility $\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x}^* = 1$
 $x_i^* \ge 0 \ \forall \ i = 1, \cdots, N$
Dual Feasibility $\nu_i^* \ge 0 \ \forall \ i = 1, \cdots, N$



The Lagrangian of this problem is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\log \left(\sigma_i + x_i \right) + \nu_i x_i - \mu x_i \right) - \mu$$

Primal Optimality
$$-\frac{1}{\sigma_i + x_i^*} - \nu_i^* + \mu^* = 0$$

Primal Feasibility $\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{1}$
 $x_i^* \ge 0 \forall i = 1, \cdots, N$
Dual Feasibility $\nu_i^* \ge 0 \forall i = 1, \cdots, N$
Complementary Slackness $\nu_i^* x_i^* = 0 \forall i = 1, \cdots, N$



Primal optimality implies that

$$\nu_i^* = \mu^* - \frac{1}{\sigma_i + x_i^*}$$



Primal optimality implies that

$$\nu_i^* = \mu^* - \frac{1}{\sigma_i + x_i^*}$$

which, combined with dual feasibility implies

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_i + x_i^*} \le \mu^*$$



Primal optimality implies that

$$\nu_i^* = \mu^* - \frac{1}{\sigma_i + x_i^*}$$

which, combined with dual feasibility implies

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_i + x_i^*} \le \mu^*$$

If $\mu^* < \frac{1}{\sigma_i}$ this can only hold if $x_i^* > 0$ in which case complementary slackness means $\nu_i^* = 0$.



Primal optimality implies that

$$\nu_i^* = \mu^* - \frac{1}{\sigma_i + x_i^*}$$

which, combined with dual feasibility implies

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_i + x_i^*} \le \mu^*$$

If $\mu^* < \frac{1}{\sigma_i}$ this can only hold if $x_i^* > 0$ in which case complementary slackness means $\nu_i^* = 0$.

$$x_i^* = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu^* > \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \\ \frac{1}{\mu^*} - \sigma_i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Primal optimality implies that

$$\nu_i^* = \mu^* - \frac{1}{\sigma_i + x_i^*}$$

which, combined with dual feasibility implies

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_i + x_i^*} \le \mu^*$$

If $\mu^* < \frac{1}{\sigma_i}$ this can only hold if $x_i^* > 0$ in which case complementary slackness means $\nu_i^* = 0$.

$$\mathbf{x}_i^* = \begin{cases} \mathbf{0} & \text{if } \mu^* > \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \\ rac{1}{\mu^*} - \sigma_i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Finally, primal feasibility implies that

$$\sum_{i\in C} \left(\frac{1}{\mu^*} - \sigma_i\right) = 1$$

where C is the set of *i*'s such that $\mu^* > \frac{1}{\sigma}$.

ŤUDelft

Primal optimality implies that

$$\nu_i^* = \mu^* - \frac{1}{\sigma_i + x_i^*}$$

which, combined with dual feasibility implies

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_i + x_i^*} \le \mu^*$$

If $\mu^* < \frac{1}{\sigma_i}$ this can only hold if $x_i^* > 0$ in which case complementary slackness means $\nu_i^* = 0$.

$$\mathbf{x}_i^* = \begin{cases} \mathbf{0} & \text{if } \mu^* > \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \\ rac{1}{\mu^*} - \sigma_i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Finally, primal feasibility implies that

$$\sum_{i\in C} \left(\frac{1}{\mu^*} - \sigma_i\right) = 1$$

where C is the set of *i*'s such that $\mu^* > \frac{1}{\sigma}$. Solve with bisection method to find μ^* and thus \mathbf{x}^* .

ŤUDelft



Alternatively Solve with CVX

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm cvx_begin} \\ {\rm variable \ x(n)} \\ {\rm minimize \ sum(-log(sigma + x)))} \\ {\rm subject \ to} \\ {\rm ones(n,1)' \ * \ x == 1} \\ {\rm x \ >= 0} \\ {\rm cvx_end} \end{array}$





Dual Variables with CVX

 cvx_begin variable x(n) dual variable mu dual variable mu(n)minimize sum(-log(sigma + x))) subject to mu: ones(n,1)' * x == 1 nu: x >= 0 cvx_end



Demo in Matlab

